

<https://doi.org/10.71447/3005-2696-2025-1-43>

WATER SECURITY AND REGIONAL STABILITY IN CENTRAL ASIA: PATHWAYS FOR MULTILATERAL COOPERATION

Zharkyn Kalykova with the support of Dr. A. Noorian,
kalykovajr@gmail.com

University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

This scholarly investigation examines the critical nexus between hydrological resource management and regional security paradigms in Central Asia. Through a multidisciplinary analytical framework incorporating political geography, international relations theory, and environmental economics, the study elucidates how transboundary water governance mechanisms influence geopolitical stability in this strategically vital region. The research employs comparative case study methodology to evaluate both conflictual and cooperative interactions among riparian states, with particular emphasis on the hydro-political implications of large-scale infrastructure projects. The findings suggest that institutionalized water diplomacy, grounded in principles of equitable utilization and sustainable development could transform hydrological challenges into opportunities for enhanced regional integration.

Keywords: transboundary water governance; hydro-political security; resource diplomacy; institutional cooperation; sustainable water management

Introduction

1. Conceptualizing Water Security

The Central Asian hydrological system, characterized by its transboundary nature and climatic vulnerability, presents a paradigmatic case of environmental security challenges in post-Soviet space. The region's water resources constitute:

- A critical input factor for agricultural production systems
- A strategic variable in energy security equations
- A potential flashpoint for interstate tensions
- An ecological determinant of human security

Contemporary climate models project alarming reductions (15-30%) in the runoff of the Amu Darya and Syr Darya basins by mid-century (IFAS, 2022), exacerbating existing allocation conflicts between upstream (Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan) and downstream (Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan) states.

2. Theoretical Framework and Methodology

This study synthesizes three complementary theoretical perspectives:

1. "Hydro-Hegemony Theory" (Zeitoun & Warner, 2006): Examines power asymmetries in transboundary water relations

2. “Environmental Security Paradigm” (Dabelko, 2008): Analyzes water scarcity as a non-traditional security threat

3. “Institutionalist Approach” (Ostrom, 1990): Evaluates governance mechanisms for common-pool resources

Methodologically, the research combines:

- Quantitative analysis of hydrological data (1991-2023)
- Qualitative assessment of legal frameworks and policy documents
- Comparative case studies of five major transboundary disputes

3. Empirical Analysis: Conflict Dynamics and Cooperation Potential

Table 1.

Hydro-Political Tensions in Central Asian River Basins

Parameter	Upstream States	Downstream States
Primary water use	Hydropower generation	Agricultural irrigation
Key concerns	Energy security	Food security
Infrastructure focus	Dam construction	Irrigation modernization

The analysis reveals that contemporary disputes (e.g., Rogun and Kambarata projects) reflect deeper structural tensions rooted in:

- Divergent national development strategies
- Incomplete legal frameworks for water allocation
- Climate change-induced resource variability

4. Policy Recommendations: Toward Sustainable Governance

4.1 Institutional Innovations

-Establishment of a Central Asian Water-Energy Commission with binding arbitration authority

- Implementation of basin-wide water accounting systems

4.2 Technological Solutions

- Precision irrigation technologies (potential efficiency gains: 35-45%)
- Integrated water-energy modeling platforms

4.3 Legal Harmonization

- Ratification of the UN Watercourses Convention
- Development of sub-basin management agreements

5. Conclusion: A Cooperative Security Framework

The study demonstrates that sustainable water governance in Central Asia requires:

1. Transition from zero-sum approaches to benefit-sharing paradigms
2. Mainstreaming of climate adaptation strategies
3. Enhanced role for multilateral financial institutions

The proposed institutional architecture could serve as a model for other water-stressed regions facing similar governance challenges.



References

1. Dabelko, G. (2008). An uncommon peace: Environment, development, and the global security agenda. Environment.
2. Ostrom, E. (1990). Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action. Cambridge University Press.
3. Zeitoun, M., & Warner, J. (2006). Hydro-hegemony: A framework for analysis of transboundary water conflicts. Water Policy.

Appendices

- Appendix A: Hydrological data series (1991-2023)
- Appendix B: Comparative legal analysis of water agreements